

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F.	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/678,343	/678,343 10/03/2003		Sidney D. Fleischman	CNVG-005US2CON2	1795	
24353	7590	09/21/2006	EXAMINER			
BOZICEVI 1900 UNIVI	•	D & FRANCIS LL	HOFFMAN, MARY C			
SUITE 200		I V EI V G E	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
EAST PALO	ALTO,	CA 94303	3733			

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)		7				
	Office Autieus Occurrence	10/678,34	13	FLEISCHMAN ET	AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Mary Hoffi		3733						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with	the correspondence ac	ldress					
WHIC - Externafter - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI assions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF TH 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever ication. ory period will apply and wi I, by statute, cause the apply	IIS COMMUNICA ent, however, may a reply II expire SIX (6) MONTH lication to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this c DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status										
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on								
		on)□ This action is n	on-final							
,—	•	ce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
-,	closed in accordance with the practice									
Dispositi	on of Claims	,	,,	,						
•	Claim(s) 21-31 is/are pending in the ap	onlication								
, —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	•	nsideration							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		,orderation.							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.									
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
′=	Claim(s) <u>21-31</u> are subject to restrictio	n and/or election re	quirement.							
·	on Papers		•							
	•	Evaminar								
	The specification is objected to by the I The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		abjected to by	the Eveniner						
10)[_]	Applicant may not request that any objection		•							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th	- · ·	· ·		ER 1 121(d)					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	•		•	-					
,	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	y the Examiner ive								
_	·	- f iiit	d 3E II C C C 1	10(-) (-) (-)						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	roreign brionty due	1 8 .O.S.U CC 191	19(a)-(u) or (i).						
a) _l	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority do	ocumente have hee	n received							
	2. Certified copies of the priority do			dication No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of				Stage					
	application from the Internationa			ceived in this National	Stage					
* 9	See the attached detailed Office action f	,	• • • •	ceived						
	see the attached detailed Office action i	or a not or the certi	iou oopios not le	00. 104 .						
Attachmen	• •		л. П	(DTO 440)	i.					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC)-948)	4) Interview Sun Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date						
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	- · - /	5) D Notice of Info	rmal Patent Application						
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:	•						

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 21-28, drawn to a method for forming an anastomosis between a host vessel and a bypass graft, classified in class 606, subclass 8.
- II. Claims 29-31, drawn to an anastomosis system, classified in class 606, subclass 96.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, such as being used to guide a Kirshner wire, drill, or implant, or even used as a dilator or distracter in the body.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

Application/Control Number: 10/678,343

Art Unit: 3733

because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary Hoffman whose telephone number is 571-272-5566. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo C. Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/678,343 Page 4

Art Unit: 3733

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MCH

EDUARIÓO C ROBERT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER